

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/613,615	07/11/2000	Cheryl L. Neofytides	10722-32691	1081	
7	7590 03/27/2002				
MORRIS, MANNING & MARTIN, LLP			EXAMINER		
1600 ATLANTA FINANCIAL CENTER 3343 PEACHTREE ROAD, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30326		(AKERS, GE	AKERS, GEOFFREY R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2164	-H-OV	
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	77	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		()/\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she	eet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	_	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defar Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st 	a reply within the statutory rult, expire SIX (6) MONTH	minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication.
Status, /	1	
Besponsive to communication(s) filed on/ 1	5/BI	
This action is FINAL .	ι	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	ept for formal matters, p 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G	prosecution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 3 5 - 90		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) 3 G - 90		is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		
Application Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ving Review PTO-948	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	· ·	
☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are objection		• •
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. 	of the priority documen	nts have been
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the In 		
*Certified copies not received:		·
Attachment(s)		
	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)	Interview Summary, FTO-413
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	No(s)	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment A(Paper # 8) filed 1/25/02.
- 2. Applicant cancelled originally filed claims 1-29. New claims 30-90 were added. None were amended.
- 3. New claims 30-90 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 30-39, 46-55,79-90 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Lamm(US Pat. No: 6,078,907). Lamm discloses a computer-implemented method for providing a computer network money request service, which includes maintaining an e-mail address database(EPO server 16)(Fig 1)(col 5 line 10 et. seq)(col 6 line 53 et seq)(col 7 line 14 et seq)(col 9 line 62 et seq)(col 11 line 17 et seq)(col 15 line 30 et seq)(col 15 line 63 et seq)(col 16 lines 19-48). Lamm also teaches receiving an e-mail address of an individual payor from a payee(col 8 line 56 et seq)(col 9 line 58 et seq)(col 11 line 17 et seq) as well as sending an e-mail to the payor(Fig 5)(col 11 line 58 et seq)(col 12 line 8 et seq)(col 14 line 40 et seq) as well as receiving

Art Unit: 2164

authorization and completing payment(col 15 line 10 et seq)(col 15 line 30 et seq). Lamm further teaches wherein the payment amount is withdrawn from an identified source(Fig 5/126/122/124).

Additionally, Lamm discloses first and second intermediary banks and transfer methods(col 16 line 33 et seq) and default money receipt selection method(col 4 line 23 et seq) as well as notifying the payee of payment(col 16 line 19 et seq) and initiating money transfer and crediting a stored value account(col 16 line 48 et seq) as well as receiving future payment datesto transfer money(col 9 line 40 et seq)(col 15 line 10 et seq)(col 15 line 45 et seq) and online history transaction statements(col 16 line 5 et seq). Lamm further teaches an e-mail link to a web page to authorize payment(col 15 line 30 et seq)(col 8 line 64 et seq)(col 11 line 30) as well as including individual message/subject line in e-mail(col 11 line 30 et seq)(col 12 line 55 et seq)(col 13 line 15 et seq). Lamm teaches a funds source identifier supporting payment(col 4 line 25) and include a hyperlink to a web site maintained by the server(Fig 4/28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 40-42 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamm(US Pat. No: 6,078,907) in view of Wolff(US Pat. No: 6,247,047).

Application/Control Number: 09/613,615

Art Unit: 2164

Lamm discloses a computer-implemented method for providing a computer network meney request service, including first anmd secondary intermediary banks and payment transfer methods(col 16 line 33 et seq) as discussed. To the extent that Lamm does not disclose payment authorization by a second individual (via ATM or private payment network)(col 16 line 44 et seq). Wolff discloses a computer implemented method for providing a computer network including an e-mail database(col 6 line 53 et seq)(col 7 line 7 et seq)(col 11 line 40 et seq) and receiving an e-mail address from a first individual and searching the e-mail database(col 11 line 25) and notifying the second individual by e-mail to receive authorization to receive payment) Figs 1-4)(col 11 line 4- et seq)(col 12 line 10 et seq). E-mail notification provides an improved means to facilitate computer network transactions by permitting individuals to control receipt of payment(col 4 line 34)(col 11 line 40)(col 12 line 10) among various payment methods(lamm/col 16 line 33). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to provide a means for a second individual to authorize payments and thereby control transfer of money to various accounts as taught by Wolff on the method of Lamm to facilitate commerce on computer networks among individuals and payment methods.

8. Claims 43-45,56-61 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamm(US Pat. No: 6,078,907) in view of Dorf(US Pat. No: 6,189,787).

Lamm discloses a computer-implemented method for providing a network money request service including payment through an intermediary bank. Lamm does not disclose a point of sale

Art Unit: 2164

transaction with a debit card. Dorf discloses a method for providing a computer network money request service including a debit card(Fig 1) and point of sale terminal for debiting a stored value account to pay a merchant through an intermediary bank(Fig 1)(Fig 2)(col 1 line 15)(col 3 line 15)(col 11 line 5). Debit and multi-use cards facilitate commerce in point of sale transactions by providing a means to transfer value to a merchant in exchange for goods and services(col 1 line 8 et seq). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a debit card as taught by Dorf on the method of Lamm to facilitate point of sale transactions.

9. Claims 69-78 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamm (US Pat. No: 6,078,907) in view of Payne(US Pat. No: 5,909,492).

Lamm provides a computer implemented method for providing a computer network money request service including searching an e-mail database for addresses of parties to a person-to-person payment service as discussed. Lamm does not disclose registration of individuals whose e-mail addresses do not exist in the database. Payne discloses a computer implemented method for providing a computer network money request service including identification of individuals by network addresses(Fig 2A-2I)(col 5 line 26 et seq) and sending a registration message to unregistered individuals to register and authoprize payment(col 5 line 57)(col 6 line 9) and web page link(col 6 line 15 et seq) to register and complete payment. Providing for new account regtistration facilitates money request service and payment transactions by registeriong new

Art Unit: 2164

individuals during payment processing(col 6 line 15 et seq). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide for new account registration as taught by Payne on the method of Lamm to permit payors and payees to open new accounts and complete funds transfer.

10. Claims 62-64 are rejected as being unpatentable over Lamm(US Pat. No: 6,078,907) in view of Kitchen(US Pat. No: 6,289,322).

Lamm discloses a computer implemented method for providing a computer network money money request service including payment of money on a future date as discussed(col 15 line 10 et seq)(col 15 line 45 et seq). Lamm does not disclose receipt of payment frequency and duration data. Kitchen discloses a computer implementable method for providing a network money request service including receipt of frequency and duration of payment information to repeat payments(Figs 9C)(Fig 10A)(col 14 line 33 et seq). Frequency and duration of payment information provides a convenient means for individuals to schedule future payments of money(col 14 line 45 et seq). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide future payment date information as taught by Kitchen on the method of Lamm to facilitate future payments.

11. Claims 65-68 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lamm(US Pat. No: 6,078,907) in view of Robertson(US Pat. No: 6,269,369).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/613,615

Art Unit: 2164

Lamm discloses a computer implemented method of providing a computer network money request service including searching an e-mail database for addresses of parties to a person-to-person payment service, as discussed. Lamm does not disclose an address book. Robertson discloses the iuse of an address book as a means to facilitate personal contacts over a computer network(col 8 ine 64 et seq)(col 9 line 10 et seq). A virtual address book provides an improved identification means to facilitate person-to-person transactions over a network(col 2 line 46). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to provide an online address book, as taught by Robertson, on the method of Lamm to facilitate identification of users with whom an individual wants to interact.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to new claims 30-90 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2164

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 2100 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-6296 or 6306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

GRA

March 20,2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100